



Corres. and Mail
BOX AF

RESPONSE UNDER 37 CFR 1.116/2300
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE
EXAMINING GROUP 2613

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of

Atty. Docket

SANTHANA KRISHNAMACHARI

PHA 23,543A

Serial No. 09/197,314

Group Art Unit: 2613

Filed: NOVEMBER 20, 1998

Examiner: R. LEE

Title: SYSTEM FOR PERFORMING RESOLUTION UPSCALING ON
FRAMES OF DIGITAL VIDEO

Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

RECEIVED
OCT 23 2002

Technology Center 2600

AFTER FINAL REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed September 18,
2002, please consider the following:

R E M A R K S

Claims 1-6, 8-19, 22-26, 40 and 45-46 stand finally
rejected under 35 USC 102 as being anticipated by Ueno et
al. Claims 7 and 20 stand rejected under 35 USC 103 as
being unpatentable over Ueno et al. in view of Guetz et al.
Claims 27-32, 34-39 and 47 stand rejected under 35 USC 103
as being unpatentable over Ueno et al. in view of Lempel,
Claim 33 stands rejected under 35 USC 103 as being
unpatentable over Ueno et al. in view of Lempel, and in